



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,483	3 07/09/2003		Valentino Montegrande	0424-02	9699	
21704	7590	06/15/2004		EXAMINER		
		FERIC KARICH	YANG, NELSON C			
2807 ST. M. MANSFIEL		<u>.</u>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1641	1641	
				DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/616,483	MONTEGRANDE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nelson Yang	1641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> .							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	s action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	<b></b>						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D						
Notice of Draitsperson's Faterit Drawing Review (F10-940)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/8/03.	<del></del>	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 objected to because of the following informalities: the word "an" in line 1 is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 5, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 5, 10 recite the limitation of an electronic reader apparatus adapted for making an electronic connection with an electrode. It is unclear what structural or physical characteristics would be encompassed by the term "adapted" to render the electronic reader apparatus capable of making an electronic connection with the electrode.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,483

Art Unit: 1641

5. Claims 1-5, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Madou et al [US 4,874,500].

Page 3

With respect to claims 1-4, 11, Madou et al teach a microelectrochemical sensor array comprising wells with electrodes located within the wells (column 2, lines 45-60), made using microfabrication techniques (column 3, lines 10-15). Madou et al further teach that composite membranes containing antigen-antibody materials can be placed within the wells (column 7, lines 30-35).

- 6. With respect to claim 5, Madou et al teach electronic circuitry adapted for processing signals from the sensing electrodes for detecting concentrations of species being detected (column 12, lines 20-41).
- 7. Claims 1, 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chow [US 6,167,910].

With respect to claims 1, 3-11, Chow et al teach multi-layer microfluidic devices comprising channels and wells (column 3, lines 1-26), including a common sample port (inlet well) and waste reservoir (outlet well) (column 7, lines 50-67) using microfabrication techniques (column 6, lines 14-40). Chow et alfurther teach the use of detection systems such as electrochemical sensors (electrodes) in connection with a computer (column 12, lines 29-60). Chow et al also teach the use of antibodies in the microfluidic device (column 12, lines 60-65).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1641

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chow et al [US 6,149,787].

Chow et al teach the use of electrochemical sensors (electrode) as discussed above. Chow et al do not teach that the sensors are micro-fabricated as part of the substrate.

Madou et al, however, do teach the microfabrication of microelectrochemical sensors (column 1, lines 5-15). Madou et al, further teach that these electrode structures are characterized by extremely small size, low power utilization, fast response time, operable at room temperature, and readily mass produced (column 3, lines 5-15). Threfore it would have been obvious in the device of Chow et al for the electrochemical sensors to be microfabricated, as suggested by Madou et al, in order to obtain electrodes characterized by small size, low power utilization, fast response time, operable at room temperature, and readily mass produced.

### Conclusion

- 10. No claims are allowed.
- 11. The following references are also cited as art of interest: Moles [US 5,932,799], Moles [US 6,073,482] teach microfluidic flow devices with sensing elements disposed within sensing channels, Chow [US 6,167,910] teaches a microfluidic device with electrochemical detectors, Spring et al [US 5,643,721] teach a immobilization medium involving specific binding members such as antibodies and antigens, Mian et al [U 6,319,469] teaches microfluidic devices foor microanalytical and microsynthetic processes.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,483

Art Unit: 1641

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nelson Yang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0826. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V Le can be reached on (571)272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nelson Yang Patent Examiner Art Unit 1641

> LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

06/14/24